



This document was created as a guide for faculty search committee members participating in the Inclusive Hiring Pilot Program during the 2016-2017 year. **The practices included in the Pilot Program are indicated with (\*\*).**

These practices are based on research literature and the work of the universities in the Big 10 Academic Alliance and other American Association of Universities (AAU) peer institutions. They build on and strengthen the existing hiring guidance in the Procedures and Guidelines for Conducting Searches at the University of Maryland and the Approved Substantive Changes to the University Search and Selection Guidelines.

All colleges participating in the Pilot Program have agreed to follow the best practices indicated with a (\*\*), among others, for faculty searches conducted in the 2016-2017 academic year.

## BEGINNING THE SEARCH

### **\*\*1) Intentionally design faculty job descriptions to attract a wide array of applicants.**

- Broadly define the position qualifications and carefully consider qualifications that are required versus preferred (e.g. "Candidates should possess an advanced degree in a related field").<sup>1</sup>
- Encourage candidates to note diversity related skills or experiences that contribute to the department, college, and university mission.<sup>2</sup>
- Note the opportunities for interdisciplinary scholarship and research; Include information about research centers and building new programs.<sup>3</sup>
- Use gender-neutral wording to describe the position; Avoid modifiers with gender-associated qualities (e.g. dominant, competitive, individualized).<sup>4</sup>

- Describe teaching responsibilities and other duties outside of research; Ask candidates to note experience with using different teaching methods and teaching/mentoring diverse students.<sup>5</sup>
- Include a departmental commitment to diversity in the position description, for example: *The Department of \_\_\_\_\_ is committed to increasing the diversity of the campus community. Candidates who have experience working with a diverse range of faculty, staff, and students, and who can contribute to the climate of inclusivity are encouraged to identify their experiences in these areas.*<sup>6</sup>

### **\*\*2) Actively market the position to increase the size and diversity of the candidate pool.**

- Traditional modes of faculty outreach often yield candidates whose skills, qualities, and attributes replicate the committee's composition.<sup>7</sup> In addition to traditional sources, seek marketing outlets and networks (journals, websites, job boards, associations) that include and target women and underrepresented groups.

### **\*\*3) Use current data regarding the diversity of the candidate pool to inform committee's recruitment and hiring strategy.**

- Review a search-specific datacard for each position. Each datacard includes demographic information about UMD faculty (institution and department level); peer institution faculty (department level); candidate pool information from previous departmental searches (2011-2016), and recent Ph.D. graduates and postdoctoral fellows; Use data to inform search plan.

### **\*\*4) Recruit candidates from diverse backgrounds.**

- Surprisingly few candidates from underrepresented groups are actively sought after for faculty positions.<sup>8</sup> Identify candidates by using directories that list Ph.D. graduates and postdoctoral fellows from underrepresented groups; Assign committee members to send individualized recruitment messages to the candidates identified.

**\*\*5) Recognize the role of implicit bias in the hiring process.**

- Developing bias literacy and creating concrete action plans for recruitment and evaluation of candidates can reduce bias in the hiring process.<sup>9</sup> Committee members will participate in an implicit bias workshop presented by the Office of Diversity & Inclusion and the ADVANCE Program for Inclusive Excellence.

**\*\*6) Require diversity among the candidates invited to the interview round of the search process.**

- Maximizing diversity on the short list and in the finalist pool increases likelihood of hiring a candidate from an underrepresented group.<sup>10</sup> Research indicates that female faculty are hired more frequently when at least two women are on the short list.<sup>11</sup>

**EVALUATING CANDIDATES**

**\*\*7) Develop consensus around inclusive job criteria and ensure that these criteria are used to assess the credentials of each candidate.**

- Develop well-defined job criteria based on the requirements of the position prior to the application review process.<sup>12</sup>
- Create standardized rubrics and/or checklists to evaluate all applicants.<sup>13</sup>

Please rate the applicant on each of the following

	excellent	good	neutral	fair	poor
Evidence of/potential for research productivity					
Evidence of/potential for attracting outside funding					
Evidence of/potential for scholarly impact / tenurability					
Evidence of/potential for interdisciplinary work					
Evidence of/potential for teaching/mentoring undergraduate and graduate students					
Evidence of/potential to compliment and contribute to department's expertise and course offerings					
Demonstrated ability/potential to contribute to the diversity mission of the department/university					

- Carefully evaluate application materials with bias literature in mind. For example, recommendation letters written for female applicants tend to be shorter and contain more qualifiers than those written for men, even when both candidates are equally qualified.<sup>14</sup>

**8) Facilitate a structured interview process.**

- Create a structured, formal interview protocol that will be uniformly used with all candidates.<sup>15</sup>
- Facilitate campus visits that highlight UMD's commitment to diversity. Women, LGBTQ individuals, and underrepresented minorities may be particularly attuned to the diversity climate when visiting campus.<sup>16</sup>
- Have candidates, regardless of race, gender, or sexual orientation, meet with a broad array of diverse students and faculty.
- Give candidates comprehensive information regarding accommodations for disabilities, partner and family-related policies, and the unique strengths and assets of your department and the university on our inclusive, supportive academic community.

**SECURING THE FINAL HIRE**

**9) Make a final offer with a competitive compensation and benefits package.**

- Women and underrepresented minority faculty face barriers in negotiating for salary (contributing to the pay gap) and negative negotiation experiences lead to higher job dissatisfaction.<sup>17</sup> Institutions can enhance the negotiation process by making clear what aspects of the job offer are negotiable.<sup>18</sup>

**10) Provide dual-career assistance for candidates with partners.**

- Dual-career assistance programs can attract women and underrepresented faculty by providing support for their partners during the job transition.<sup>19</sup> Information regarding dual-hire policies should be provided to candidates during the on-campus interview as well as during the offer stage.

## References

<sup>1</sup> Desvaux, G., Devillard-Hoellinger, S., & Meaney, M.C. (2008, September). A business case for women. The McKinsey Quarterly. Retrieved from <https://dca.org.au/app/webroot/files/file/gender%20documents/Business%20Case%20for%20Women%20Mckinsey%20sept08.pdf>

Kay, K., & Shipman, C. (2014). *The Confidence Code*. New York: Harper Collins.

Mohr, T.S. (2014, August 25). Why women don't apply for jobs unless they're 100% qualified. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2014/08/why-women-dont-apply-for-jobs-unless-theyre-100-qualified>

<sup>2</sup> Smith, D. G., Richards, S., Osei-Kofi, N., & Turner, C. S. V. (2004). Interrupting the usual: Successful strategies for hiring diverse faculty. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 75(2), 133-160.

Mayhew, M. J., & Grunwald, H. E. (2006). Factors contributing to faculty incorporation of diversity-related course content. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 77(1), 148-168.

<sup>3</sup> Antonio, A. L. (2002). Faculty of color reconsidered: Reassessing contributions to scholarship. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 73(5), 582-602.

Mayhew & Grunwald, 2006

Rhoten, D., & Pfirman, S. (2007). Women in interdisciplinary science: Exploring preferences and consequences. *Research Policy*, 36(1), 56-75.

Urban Universities for Health (2015, April). *Faculty Cluster Hiring: For Diversity and Institutional Climate*. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from [http://urbanuniversitiesforhealth.org/media/documents/Faculty\\_Cluster\\_Hiring\\_Report.pdf](http://urbanuniversitiesforhealth.org/media/documents/Faculty_Cluster_Hiring_Report.pdf)

<sup>4</sup> Gaucher, D., Friesen, J., & Kay, A. (2011). Evidence that gendered wording in job advertisements exists and sustains gender inequality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(1), 109-128. doi:10.1037/a0022530

<sup>5</sup> Antonio, 2002.

Eagan, K., Stolzenberg, E. B., Lozano, J. B., Aragon, M. C., Suchard, M. R., & Hurtado, S. (2014). Undergraduate teaching faculty: The 2013–2014 HERI faculty survey. *The Higher Education Research Institute*.

Hurtado, S., Eagan, K., Pryor, J. H., Whang, H., & Tran, S. (2012). Undergraduate teaching faculty: The 2010–2011 HERI faculty survey. *Higher Education Research Institute: University of California, Los Angeles*.

<sup>6</sup> Bilimoria, D., & Stewart, A. J. (2009). "Don't Ask, Don't Tell": The Academic Climate for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Faculty in Science and Engineering. *NWSA Journal*, 21(2), 85-103.

Eagan et al., 2014

Smith et al., 2004

<sup>7</sup> Bilimoria, D., & Buch, K. K. (2010). The search is on: Engendering faculty diversity through more effective search and recruitment. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 42(4), 27-32.

<sup>8</sup> Smith, D. G. (2000). How to diversify the faculty. *Academe*, 86(5), 48.

<sup>9</sup> Carnes, M., Devine, P. G., Isaac, C., Manwell, L. B., Ford, C. E., Byars-Winston, A., ... & Sheridan, J. (2012). Promoting institutional change through bias literacy. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 5(2), 63.

Devine, P. G., Plant, E. A., Amodio, D. M., Harmon-Jones, E., & Vance, S. L. (2002). The regulation of explicit and implicit race bias: the role of motivations to respond without prejudice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(5), 835.

Fine, E., Sheridan, J., Carnes, M., Handelsman, J., Pribbenow, C., Savoy, J., & Wendt, A. (2014). Minimizing the influence of gender bias on the faculty search process. In V. Demos, C.W. Berheide, M.T. Segal (Eds). *Gender Transformation in the Academy. (Advances in Gender Research, vol. 19) (267 – 289)*. Emerald Group Publishing: West Yorkshire.

Smith, J. L., Handley, I. M., Zale, A. V., Rushing, S., & Potvin, M. A. (2015). Now hiring! Empirically testing a three-step intervention to increase faculty gender diversity in STEM. *BioScience*, 65(11), 1084-1087.

<sup>10</sup> Bilimoria & Buch, 2010

Smith et al., 2004

<sup>11</sup> Bilimoria & Buch, 2010

<sup>12</sup> Dovidio, J. F. (2001). On the nature of contemporary prejudice: The third wave. *Journal of Social Issues*, 57(4), 829-849.

Fine, E. & Handelsman, J. (2012). *Searching for excellence and diversity: A guide for search committee chairs*. Handelsman, H. (Ed.). University of Wisconsin-Madison: WISELI and the Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System. Retrieved from [http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/docs/SearchBook\\_Wisc.pdf](http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/docs/SearchBook_Wisc.pdf)

---

McCarthy, J. M., Van Iddekinge, C. H., & Campion, M. A. (2010). Are highly structured job interviews resistant to demographic similarity effects?. *Personnel Psychology, 63*(2), 325-359.

Rubini, M., & Menegatti, M. (2008). "Linguistic bias in personnel selection." *Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 27*(2), 168–181

<sup>13</sup> Rubric adapted from University of Michigan ADVANCE

<sup>14</sup> Madera, J. M., Hebl, M. R., & Martin, R. C. (2009). Gender and letters of recommendation for academia: agentic and communal differences. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 94*(6), 1591.

Schmader, T., Whitehead, J., & Wysocki, V. H. (2007). A linguistic comparison of letters of recommendation for male and female chemistry and biochemistry job applicants. *Sex Roles, 57*(7), 509-514.

Trix, F., & Psenka, C. (2003). Exploring the color of glass: Letters of recommendation for female and male medical faculty. *Discourse & Society, 14*(2), 191-220.

<sup>15</sup> Brecher, E., Bragger, J., & Kutcher, E. (2006). The structured interview: Reducing biases toward job applicants with physical disabilities. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal, 18*(3), 155-170.

McCarthy, Van Iddekinge & Campion, 2010

<sup>16</sup> Bilimoria & Stewart, 2009

Eagan et al., 2014

LaSala, M. C., Jenkins, D. A., Wheeler, D. P., & Fredriksen-Goldsen, K. I. (2008). LGBT faculty, research, and researchers: Risks and rewards. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services, 20*(3), 253-267.

Patridge, E. V., Barthelemy, R. S., & Rankin, S. R. (2014). Factors impacting the academic climate for LGBQ STEM faculty. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering, 20*(1).

Tuitt, F. A., Sagaria, M. A. D., & Turner, C. S. V. (2007). Signals and strategies in hiring faculty of color. In *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research* (pp. 497-535). Springer Netherlands.

<sup>17</sup> Amanatullah, E. T., & Morris, M. W. (2010). Negotiating gender roles: Gender differences in assertive negotiating are mediated by women's fear of backlash and attenuated when negotiating on behalf of others. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 98*(2), 256.

---

Bear, J. B., & Babcock, L. (2012). Negotiation topic as a moderator of gender differences in negotiation. *Psychological Science, 23*(7), 743-744.

Crothers, L. M., Hughes, T. L., Schmitt, A. J., Theodore, L. A., Lipinski, J., Bloomquist, A. J., & Altman, C. L. (2010). Has equity been achieved? Salary and promotion negotiation practices of a national sample of school psychology university faculty. *The psychologist-manager journal, 13*(1), 40-59.

Green, M. (2015). Negotiating While Black. Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com.proxy-um.researchport.umd.edu/abstract=2694160>

Heckert, T. M., Droste, H. E., Adams, P. J., Griffin, C. M., Roberts, L. L., Mueller, M. A., & Wallis, H. A. (2002). Gender differences in anticipated salary: Role of salary estimates for others, job characteristics, career paths, and job inputs. *Sex Roles, 47*(3-4), 139-151.

Kaman, V. S., & Hartel, C. E. (1994). Gender differences in anticipated pay negotiation strategies and outcomes. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 9*(2), 183-197.

<sup>18</sup> Leibbrandt, A., & List, J. A. (2014). Do women avoid salary negotiations? Evidence from a large-scale natural field experiment. *Management Science, 61*(9), 2016-2024.

<sup>19</sup> McNeil, L. E., & Sher, M. (1999). The dual-career-couple problem. *Physics Today, 52*(7), 32–37.

Schibinger, L., Davies Henderson, A., & Gilmartin, S.K. (2008). *Dual-Career academic couples: What universities need to know*. Stanford, CA: The Michelle R. Clayman Institute for Gender Research, Stanford University

Wolf-Wendel, L. E., Twombly, S., & Rice, S. (2000). Dual-career couples: Keeping them together. *Journal of Higher Education, 291*-321.